1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2	FLORENCE DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR. NO. 4:22-CR-580) FLORENCE, SC
4) SEPTEMBER 14, 2022
5	VERSUS)
6	BHAGAVAN MAHAMAYAVI ANTLE,) A/K/A KEVIN ANTLE)
7	A/K/A DOC ANTLE,
8	DEFENDANT.)
9	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS E. ROGERS, III UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE BOND MODIFICATION HEARING
11	APPEARANCES:
12	
13	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: DEREK ALAN SHOEMAKE, AUSA UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 401 W EVANS STREET
14	SUITE 222 FLORENCE, SC 29501
15	AMY F. BOWER, AUSA
16	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 151 MEETING STREET
17	SUITE 200 CHARLESTON, SC 29401
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: ANDREW MOORMAN, ESQ.
19	MOORMAN, ESQ. MOORMAN LAW FIRM 416 EAST NORTH STREET
20	2ND FLOOR GREENVILLE, SC 29601
21	
22	RYAN L. BEASLEY, ESQ. RYAN L. BEASLEY LAW OFFICE
23	416 EAST NORTH STREET GREENVILLE, SC 29601
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	COURT REPORTER: DEBRA R. BULL, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER
3	315 SOUTH MCDUFFIE STREET ANDERSON, SC 29624
4	
5	STENOTYPE/COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION
6	*** *** ***
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Whereupon, the hearing commenced at 10:59 a.m.)

COURT OFFICER: All rise.

THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Shoemake.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Yes, Your Honor. We are here on 4:22-580, United States versus Antle.

Your Honor, we are here for a Bond Modification Motion that the Government filed, I think, a couple of weeks ago, and it relates to the prior bond you set in this case. And, obviously, it is my motion, so I am prepared to go forward whenever the Court is ready.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you ready to go forward?

MR. MOORMAN: We are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I see Mr. Antle is here in the courtroom with us, as well as his lawyers.

MR. BEASLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Yes, Your Honor, I will be brief.

I laid this out in the motion. There is really not a whole lot of dispute about what is happening, the question is what to do about it. As I attached the letter to the Court but, Your Honor, we were recently informed by the USDA that Mr. Antle is attempting to sell the Myrtle Beach Safari Park, which based on the — the way the USDA licensing work, would inherently mean selling the animals of that park, as well as the

park itself to Sugriva LLC. This is an LLC that was created while Mr. Antle was in custody by a person with ties to Mr. Antle that lives on the compound there, Ms. York. As the letter makes clear, Ms. York would be effectively the full owner and this would completely divest Mr. Antle of ownership interest. In fact, according to at least Ms. York's lawyers, there is even a question of whether or not Mr. Antle would even be employed at that point by the Safari Park.

So, Your Honor, I know the defense has filed a response. I would just like to address a couple of things and then sort of dovetail into the reason why we think the bond should be modified.

Your Honor, I don't want to get too deep into the facts that were laid out by the defense, other than to say we fundamentally disagree with the characterization of most of them. This is not a strawman argument. The fact is, we have an outstanding subpoena to the USDA now, we are collecting those records now to turnover to the defense. I asked USDA to get us those documents, so the USDA has an obligation to let us know documents in possession that are relevant. They flagged this for us. It was entirely our decision to make this motion.

The only other thing I will say is there is

reference to the FBI lying to a financial institution, that did not occur, that is beyond the scope of this hearing, and I can address that with the lawyers later, but that is entirely not accurate.

So, Your Honor, what we have here is a defendant that is charged with money laundering. And specifically, the money laundering charges, as noted in the indictment and as evidence presented before Your Honor during the revocation hearing in this case or the bond detention hearing in this case, that money laundering was done using both Safari accounts, accounts tied to the Myrtle Beach Safari and also using property of the Myrtle Beach Safari. It was, you know, done there on the property.

Your Honor, he has now since been charged, since we were last before you on these issues, he has since been charged with several Lacey Act violations that involved the trafficking of endangered species to that park. Specifically, there is at least one chimpanzee and cheetahs that we have every reason to believe are still at the Park that are involved in that case. So, Your Honor, when we found out, not through defense, but through the USDA that the sale was taking place, we felt incumbent to step in.

Your Honor, I think two other factual sort of

clarifications or illustrations before I go into sort of the legal arguments is during the last hearing, we specifically requested a condition of bond that Mr. Antle not be allowed to move animals. Your Honor made the point that at this point he had not been charged with any wildlife trafficking, this is an issue we can revisit, should that become an issue, so that is why we have come back because it in fact has become an issue. And, again, as far as we can tell by the time line at that point at least the initial machinations have been put in place to transfer the Myrtle Beach Safari Park and the animals over to Ms. York and her LLC.

Secondly, we have, as noted in the Defendant's footnote, given that this is a business that does have some legitimacy, we do not accept the position that it is an entirely legitimate business, but certainly there are people who clean pools and things like that that are not involved in illegal activities, for those reasons, the defense came to us asking if we could effectively do a property swap. Allow us to put a *lis pendens* on one of the properties there in the area and in exchange of that release some of the seed spots. We agreed to do that. At no point in this discussion, to be fair we did not ask, but at no point in this discussion were we

made aware of any attempt to sell the Safari Park. I think that would have changed our position had we known that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, with that in mind, we are here today because the Government seeks that you not allow Mr. Antle to transfer ownership of the Safari Park and the animals therein. And we do that for three primary reasons, Your Honor: First, this Court routinely enters bond conditions to protect evidence, and the reason they do that is because it is a violation to destroy evidence, it is a violation of law to obstruct And so there is -- it is clear in the statute justice. that bonds can be set, and one of the conditions can be not to engage in further legal violation. Destruction or obstruction of justice and destruction of evidence are both reasons that the Court could issue a bond. Tn this case, Your Honor, we are not dealing, I think it is very important to make this distinction, we are not dealing with substitute assets. The law in the Fourth Circuit is clear, and I have a number of cases I could cite, that any instrumentality used to facilitate money laundering is subject to seizure as part of the criminal Not as some substitute asset. conduct. copious evidence that the Myrtle Beach Safari Park itself, its grounds, and more importantly its bank

accounts were used to facilitate money laundering. We also now have evidence that the park now currently houses animals that were illegally trafficked. The Lacey Act seizure would allow the Government to seize the park and those animals. So, Your Honor, we have a legitimate right to seize all of those things, and in fact in the interest of sort of discretion, we have not, at this point, asked to seize the animals or that property primarily because we thought it was unnecessary, we didn't expect -- we were hopeful this would not happen, an attempt to transfer ownership.

So again, Your Honor, we think to protect the evidence, I understand the Defendant makes the point here that the Government may have some ability to set aside the transfer to still reach to the assets, but nonetheless, adding that extra layer creates an entire other legal obstacle to get to the property. We think that is the actual fundamental drive as to why this happened. We believe that is why the LLC was set up while Mr. Antle was on bond, and we believe that is why it is being transferred now to keep the Government one farther step away from being able to seize these animals or that property. So that is one reason, Your Honor.

The second reason, as the defense also notes, is dealing with the flight risk. It is still our concern,

as the Virginia trial approaches in October, that Mr. Antle is a flight risk. One of the large reasons made as to why he was not a flight risk before Your Honor was this is a businessman who owns a large, growing concern in Myrtle Beach. If he is able to divest himself of this with according to at least Ms. York's attorney having not even maybe even being an employee, his ties to the community, and this community specifically, effectively vanish. I am sure his lawyer will say he plans to be involved, but the reality is, the black and white letter from Ms. York says, understand he is under indictment, he will have no role in the management of this thing, and he will probably not even be an employee or may not even be an employee. We think, Your Honor, very much so a restriction on the transfer is important because without that we think the Defendant becomes a greater flight risk than he may already be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, I think the last point, and again there is not much more for us to say, I think the last point here is that we are hopeful to do this because it seems to be the cleanest option, it fits pretty squarely within the ability of the Court to set a bond. We certainly have the ability to file a civil seizure order and then seek an injunction from the District

Court to prevent this transfer or seek an outright seizure. We would prefer this method because it keeps everything in place, prevents the evidence from being destroyed, and arguably keeps Mr. Antle here while we continue these proceedings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, that is why we are before the Court and would seek in addition that he not be allowed to transfer the Safari Park and specifically any animal under the Endangered Species Act. And if we had to be even more specific, I guess we could say do not transfer the Safari Park or any chimpanzees or cheetahs as those are the two specific type of animals listed in the Indictment. We suspect more may be coming, but as of right now, we have cheetahs and chimpanzees, not saying every chimpanzee and every cheetah is there illegally, but in order for us to know which ones he is transferring, we would have to go and actually evaluate those animals to make sure it is not the one illegally So, we believe a bond condition, a very trafficked. reasonable bond condition would be not to allow the transfer of the the Safari Park and not to allow the transfer of animals listed in the in Endangered Species Or if the Court wants to be more specific, not to allow the transfer of chimpanzees and cheetahs. the Court has any questions, that is all the Government has.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the defense.

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, may it please the Court, I will respond to some of what he said in just a I want to start with this, and at the risk of appearing facetious, when he called the case, he called the case "United States versus Mr. Antle," in our opinion, this case, as it stands before Your Honor today is more properly titled, USDA versus Mr. Antle. We are here solely because of the USDA actions as a catalyst to try to prevent the proper permitting and licensing of the Myrtle Beach Safari. By his own pleadings, the Government acknowledges that we are here because USDA passed information along relating to perfectly lawful activity and this is something that, and Your Honor has been doing it longer than I have, but I don't recall a time when the Government had moved the Court to add restrictions to a bond and do not allege any bond violations of any kind. We are before Your Honor, and the Government does not allege any failures on Mr. Antle's part to comply with any conditions of bond that Your Honor has set. I think that is very, very important.

The other thing that I think is very, very important is this, or many things, but there are two

applications pending before the USDA right now: One is a renewal for Mr. Antle's license; one is an application for a new license for Sugriva. Both of these are pending before the USDA. Mr. Antle for decades has communicated with the USDA seamlessly to have his permit seamlessly, right. renewed, This year everything They try to schedule appointments with USDA changes. for inspections, USDA cancels those appointments, In speaking with attorneys for Mr. Antle and right. Sugriva, who are working through this regulatory piece, they tell me unequivocally the USDA does not have any basis to deny either the renewal or the new permit for Sugriva at this point. No basis whatsoever. So, what happens after this? The inspection is supposed to be scheduled for the beginning of the month, cancel, and then what happens? We have this case brought in front of Your Honor in an effort to prevent these permits from being granted and/or the continuation of the business, that is the Myrtle Beach Safari. And this is what I think, Your Honor, is utterly important What is at stake? The Government seeks to get here. an order from Your Honor preventing the transfer of animals on the endangered species list and the transfer of the business itself. That is what they are asking for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now Mr. Shoemake is trying to modify it, but that is what they are asking. Think about this. Right now there are approximately 150 animals on that property at the Myrtle Beach Safari. Of those, between 120 and 130 are on the endangered species list. animals, their lives literally are dependent on the successful operation of that business, right. business that Mr. Antle has built with his team over And which Mr. Antle and his team now run very decades. successfully. But think about this, a thousand pounds of meet a day, 20 plus employees, vet bills,, infrastructure. To make all of this go, that business has got to be successful, right, because that is very expensive to make all of that go. So, what the Government is seeking from Your Honor is for Your Honor to actively take an interest to control lawful business decisions that my client is perfectly empowered to make, to make that business go and support those animals, And what they are asking for, Your Honor, you to have control to prevent the transfer of 120 animals on that property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, even, let's say, Mr. Antle believes that it is in the animal's best interest and the Safari's best interest to bring an animal here, to transfer an animal to the property so he can care for it, or transfer one

of these animals off the property somewhere else so it could have maybe a different habitat or something that would improve that animal's life, the Government wants Your Honor to say, no. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He references the prior interaction we had on the record about animal conditions, and this is what I remember specifically, there was a lot we reviewed on the record, but I remember specifically when the Government asked Your Honor to require Mr. Antle to notify the Court if there was was a birth of an animal, a death of an animal, if an animal had to go to the vet, what Your Honor in court identified very aptly, and the question Your Honor put to Mr. Shoemake is, so, if that requirement is imposed and the Court receives that information, what is the Court to do with that? Right? And now, again Your Honor expressed some concern over that liability potentially or that level of intrusion that that would impose on the Court, now the Government is seeking to get Your Honor to interfere with critical business decisions that could negatively impact the financial well-being of the safari, and in turn literally endanger the lives of animals on the Safari.

Let me give you just one example how tenuous -- it is a finely-tuned machine built over decades. Let

me give you one example of how tenuous this can be. Mr. Antle got out on bond. After he got out on bond, there were vendors who did not want to conduct business with him because of the publicity associated with this case, right. One of the problems he encountered is pools on the property were turning green, right, which, in a vacuum, that doesn't seem like a big deal, right? There was algae in the pools. When the pools turn green, can't use the pools. When you can't use the pools, his son and other members of the team who swim with tigers in the pools during the tours can't perform that part of the tour, right. When they can't perform that part of the tour, that tour become less appealing to the public, warrants less expenditures on the tour. Just that little hiccup that was caused by this case in and of itself posed a risk to the financial well-being to the Safari, right. And Mr. Shoemake talks about how, well, we could seize everything, right. seize everything as instrumentalities. So, does Mr. Shoemake or this Court, I am not being facetious, does Mr. Shoemake want the Government to be responsible for the well-being of 120 animals on the endangered species list that my client has cared for extremely well for decades? And by the way, there is no allegation in any of this case about any animal mistreatment, any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negligence on the part of Mr. Antle or any of his staff for the purpose of caring for these animals. These animals are better cared for there better than anywhere else. When Mr. Shoemake says, we can go seize it?

Really? Does he really want to do that? I don't think he does. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Other thing I wanted to mention to you, and we made this very clear in our response, and Your Honor knows this better than anybody, for the purpose of setting conditions of bond under 3142, the focus is, protect the public and assure the appearance of this Defendant in court, that is the quidepost of 3142. And those are the anchors that 3142 ties to the mandate that Your Honor, as you know, must impose, quote, the least restrictive conditions possible to assure the Defendant's appearance and protect the public. Now, Mr. Shoemake has some arguments that are, quite frankly, very thick, right. When he talks about, I didn't hear any argument as to protecting the public, and as we pointed out in our response, there are no victims in the case, there is no restitution that will be owed as it stands. Again, upon information and belief we said this, the Government has already seized money that is well in excess of any loss amounts that they even argue to the Court. So, to say that somehow this condition

of restricting my client's lawful activities, again, there is no debate here that what my client seeks to do is perfectly lawful, there is no argument that in any way would protect the public.

As it relates to assure his appearance, I mean, this is Mr. Shoemake, this is utterly conjecture. My client's family is here. He has got children who live here, he has grandchildren who live here, he has property here. He has lived here for almost three decades. And to say somehow that these business decisions he is trying to make will all of a sudden will turn him into a flight risk is just ridiculous. This is the same type argument if you have incendiary on the property --

THE COURT: Let me ask this, if he transfers complete ownership of that park, I mean that think directly is relevant to that issue.

MR. MOORMAN: To which issue? Flight risk.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MOORMAN: And, Your Honor, I don't want to assume -- I am curious to know, why would you --

THE COURT: If he has no interest in that park, that park, I think we would agree, would be a significant asset in his name, right?

MR. MOORMAN: Well, I mean, the park, the

animals, the business that he has developed, but, again, he -- like we talked about earlier, I mean, I would disagree with that, Your Honor. To say that, that ascends that that is the mainstay keeping him here, that is the critical piece.

THE COURT: Well, I can tell you, part of my decision certainly relied on the fact that he did have a lot of family here, that he did own a number of assets here, including that Park, which was a significant asset in his name.

MR. MOORMAN: Right.

THE COURT: And so if he divests himself of that

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, I would argue that doesn't change the equation because he still has multiple pieces of real estate.

THE COURT: Well, may be. May be. I mean, playing a numbers game, this is only, you know, a certain percentage of the whole pie.

MR. MOORMAN: This is the slippery slope.

MR. BEASLEY: Your Honor, just as represented by his assets, the Park only represents 20 percents of all of his assets.

THE COURT: And the Park, you know, the transfer of the Park, does that include the real estate

on which the Park sits?

MR. BEASLEY: I think it is just the zoo part.

MR. MOORMAN: And so, Your Honor, that is, the transfer of the MBS would include the property, what is the MBS. But, again, Your Honor, this is a slippery slope, all right. When you come back before the Court on conduct that is perfectly lawful and he is perfectly in compliance --

THE COURT: I know. Let's just assume for the sake of argument that all assets were in the process of being transferred, that would be relevant to --

MR. MOORMAN: Let me ask you this, Your Honor, let's say he goes and gets his driver's license renewed, right. I mean, and I don't mean to -- but, you could make the argument, you can spin any of these facts in a way to try to put him in flight risk. If he needs a driver's license, you can say, well, he wants to maintain his privilege to drive, if he can drive, he can leave. That is a slippery slope. That is the problem.

THE COURT: That is a little more tenuous than -MR. MOORMAN: Well, you say that. But, Your
Honor, you know, you talk about things that may have
changed in this transaction, what Your Honor did not
have at the time you set bond originally is a course of

conduct and a history of Mr. Antle on bond being utterly compliant with all of the conditions of bond.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOORMAN: Right?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MOORMAN: So, I think that is also something. If you are looking at the landscape now versus before, you have Mr. Antle on electronic monitoring since the end of June with absolutely no violations, no concerns of any kind, right.

The other thing that I want to talk about, too, again, is let's say Your Honor wants to get in the business of controlling business decisions, by way of limiting of moving of animals and stopping of transfers.

And by the way, I do think this is important.

THE COURT: I think those are two very different issues.

MR. MOORMAN: But I think -- I think both are significant. Before I get to those, I do want to mention, looking at the regulations associated with the USDA permitting and licensing, okay, under federal law, under CFR, the business cannot be transferred unless Sugriva is licensed and does receive a permit, right. So, I think that is also significant from the standpoint of before this happens, before Sugriva can

take transfer, take ownership and possession of the Myrtle Beach Safari, the USDA must complete its process by way of permitting and licensing. So, that is significant because there is a concern that the animals will be transferred, that won't be accomplished unless the USDA is completely satisfied, bottom line, that the transfer is appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's go back to the order itself. If Your if Your Honor says, okay, I will grant the Government's motion, and I am going to prevent -- I am going to prevent the transfer of both the company and the 120 animals, endangered species, right, because, again, the way it is written, we are not just talking about the animals that are subject to the Counts in the Indictment, we are talking about all of the endangered And then Mr. Shoemake then says by the way, species. what about all the chimps? All right just the chimps. There are over 20 chimps on the property. So, say Your Honor thinks that it is a good idea for the Court to weigh in on these lawful business decisions, how will Your Honor's Order be enforced, right? Because at some point you got to go out and identify what is on the Safari, right. And identification is part of a component in this case. And so as before, we would instruct Mr. Antle to not speak or interact with

law enforcement without purpose, right. So, how, I mean, is the FBI going to go out there and try to take DNA swabs of the animals to determine what is there is down in the Court's order? Is an agent going to go in the cage of the chimps and get that done? I don't understand how, even if Your Honor wanted to enforce the Order, how you could do it as a practical matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, again, I think you have two different issues, transfer of animals versus transfer of assets I think is distinguishable.

MR. MOORMAN: But, Your Honor, that is why I would respectfully -- I think it is essential without a difference because the animals, the animals make the business go, right. So, if you -- to divorce the two, quite frankly, I believe underestimates or understates the importance of the animals and the ability to transfer the animals as relates to the health and transfer of the business. If the business is weighing -- I just don't think the distinction is a mean, I understand Your Honor's comment there, but I just don't think it is a mean by extension. I don't understand how with his ties to the community, with the history of bond, with his conduct while he has been on bond, with his family, I don't understand how this sort of moves the needle by way of his ability to --

MR. BEASLEY: Your Honor, most of this transfer has to do with just the animals, not the real estate, that is the whole thing. And it has to do with the USDA and, you know, not the U.S. Attorney's office.

MR. MOORMAN: One other thing I would say, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOORMAN: Mr. Shoemake made a comment that this happened while he was in jail, right, that there is an LLC that was created while he was in jail, as if there is something nefarious and defense counsel did not make anybody aware. Let's talk about jail because I do think this is significant because I think context matters. You got Mr. Antle, 62, never been in trouble. Not given any opportunity whatsoever to turn himself in, not given a summons in a case of this kind, which is very common. He is arrested at his house. The Government moves for detention, which Your Honor widely denies. A guy 62, never been in jail, who sits for 20 plus days, right. Has a lot to consider, right. And he tries -- Mr. Shoemake says something --implies there is something nefarious with this transfer, quite frankly. That is the implication . Why is he trying to transfer? There is something nefarious about it, right? Well, I put myself in his position,

and if I were 62, I have been working 16 hours a day for 30 years, I am sleeping on a concrete floor, I begin to realize, you know what, I am tired? Right. Maybe I do want to slow down, right? Maybe I want to enjoy what I have built. So, this idea that somehow he wants to divest himself of this property that he has got this grand plan to take off doesn't make sense, especially with the family and property he has.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHOEMAKE: Your Honor, if I may, just very briefly, just a few quick points. It is clear that defense counsel and the Government are never going to agree about who Mr. Antle is in terms of his conduct, but I think it is important to note that recordings that were discussed before this Court that are mentioned in filings, two years before this case ever began, have Mr. Antle attempting to do a \$200,000 money laundering operation, which he then later says on recording was going to be used to buy animals, animals which we contend were bought illegally, which are now subject to federal charges, and which we have evidence, including cooperators to verify, that, yes, in fact, they were paid \$200,000 to sell animals across state So, this idea that this is a man who has done nothing wrong, salt of the earth, he was arrested here after he had been charged in Virginia for wildlife

trafficking and animal cruelty and other charges. I take issue with the idea that this is some innocent guy who was just trying to get by. An LLC was created by a person who has admitted to being a bit of a fraudster and laundering money, and we think it was created to obstruct justice. We think it was created to avoid the Government getting their hands on the evidence in this case.

So, Your Honor, I do think there was an intent to evade Government regulation here. And specifically, Your Honor, with these animals, we have a chimpanzee that is there right now awaiting an infrastructure, but was there this whole time that was under a Court Order that was to be returned, a Federal Court Order saying this chimp was to be returned, it had safe haven at a park. We have another animal subject to -- another chimpanzee, who is subject to our Indictment, who we believe is there illegally. To allow the chimpanzees and cheetahs to be transferred is equivalent to allowing contraband to be transferred because at least some of those animals constitute contraband in the Lacey Act violations.

And in terms of enforceability, Your Honor, that is our job, it is not your job. Your job is to say he is not allowed to do it, it is our job to

investigate and discover if he has.

So, again, Your Honor, I don't see any issue with enforceability. And, again, I do think it ties directly to his ability to move freely about when he is not encumbered by the statute. We are not talking about, Your Honor, him selling it to some independent third-party zoo, we are talking about selling it to a LLC, who is one-hundred percent owner, someone who lives on the compound and has a very close relationship with Mr. Antle. So, we do believe a bond modification is appropriate.

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, what is his plan as to how to identify the animals?

MR. SHOEMAKE: I mean, Your Honor, the plan is, we know the animals he has right now that are endangered subject to the Indictment are chimpanzees and cheetahs. We have based on cooperators, we feel very confident, we know as recently as two weeks ago the animals were there based on the cooperators we have, but beyond that, Your Honor, that is our job. We are saying he shouldn't be allowed to transfer them. Right now he is admitting in open Court he has intention of transferring animals that are actually subject to this case. And that is, again, Your Honor, that is absurd.

MR. MOORMAN: That is not the case. Never

admitted that we had intent to transfer animals. What I said, Your Honor, is that they should -- should it come about that the animal be in better position somewhere else, track the transfer to be accomplished lawfully, it is not appropriate for Your Honor to prohibit that. But, again, he can't tell you what the plan is to even identify what animals he is talking about. I mean, again, that is why this is unenforceable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, he has talked about a Virginia case, right, he has gone in the facts Your Honor heard initially, I will say this, he was charged in Virginia in 2020. The entire time since he has been charged, he was at the Myrtle Beach Safari. They called him on the He was right there. We were in Virginia earlier this week to watch proceedings occurring there. There is a Motion to Suppress, it is going to be heard on October 20th, right. In Virginia, you do not get an evidentiary hearing on a Motion to Suppress unless the Court grants that motion. Mr. Antle's defense team in Virginia has gotten the Court to grant an evidentiary hearing on the 20th of October for that Motion to And by the way, that Motion to Suppress Suppress. relates directly to the cellphone is the subject or was used to search Mr. Antle's cellphone that forms the

basis, the bedrock of the evidence the Government has on all of the animal charges. And by the way, the animal charges that are so serious by what the Government says, by my recollection, have him on misdemeanors, are misdemeanors. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, and I think Mr. -- I will just say, Mr. Shoemake would agree with this because he has indicated the Government has tried very hard to wall this off, the affiant on the search warrant from Virginia used to search his cellphone is currently under indictment for embezzlement in Virginia. Right. So, again, and we are still waiting on some of the discovery to review this, but if you look at the bedrock of the Government's animal case, the most important part, it comes from the execution of the search warrant of the cellphone in question. Again, which was accomplished by the use of an affidavit sworn to by an officer who is under indictment for embezzlement, and my understanding those allegations is that that agent or that officer, the conduct involved stealing from his own agency.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Your Honor, if I could, I know this is not particularly relevant, I just don't like to go unanswered, I fundamentally disagree with that characteristic in its entirety. I can go in detail.

Our case.

THE COURT: I am not going to do.

MR. SHOEMAKE: I know. I didn't want -- I hear you, Judge.

THE COURT: I am going to take a little break and I am going to review a couple other things. So, take about 15 minutes, so I will take a little recess, and we will reconvene.

(Whereupon, a short recess was held.)

THE COURT: You know, what hit me with this initially is this really is not proper bond consideration information. You know, as to, in essence, a restraining order, I mean there are provisions in a bond that effectively amount to a restraining order, but you are trying to ask me to enter a Temporary Restraining Order, in essence, regarding the evidence in this case, and I think that is something that is properly brought before the District Judge, who is handling the case, if it is an issue of, you know, of protectionism of the person on the forfeiture provision of the Indictment.

But as I was talking earlier, Mr. Moorman, I mean, I do think that transfer of the assets, and I will tell you about, as far as whether or not to detain him or not, one of the big factors was the fact that he

did have a significant amount of assets and an ongoing business that have been in place for a long time and a lot of family in that area. And, you know, and the issue of flight I thought that was just overwhelmingly against that in his favor for his person.

Mr. Beasley, I couldn't find my notes as to values of everything. You are saying that this represents 20 percent of his assets, I note as far as the ongoing business -- go ahead.

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, first off, if Your
Honor wants, we can get you a sort of give you a
breakdown specifically as to how much -- what he has got
left, it has diminished a lot in the Myrtle Beach area.
But also, in talking with Mr. Antle, he is going to
retain ownership of the land on which --

THE COURT: Well, I thought he wasn't. I thought that was one of the questions.

MR. MOORMAN: So, my understanding is it is a lease situation between, let me double-check, I want to make sure that is right.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the record.)

MR. MOORMAN: So, he is talking about, he is sole member of an LLC that owns the real estate on which the Myrtle Beach Safari sits. That LLC will retain ownership, my understanding is, will retain ownership

when Myrtle Beach Safari is transferred to Sugriva.

And the Myrtle Beach Safari will then be paying the LLC rent for the purposes offering. So, there is still a -- there is still a tie to the Safari from the standpoint he will be the sole member of an LLC that owns that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You know, I am reluctant to ask why this is happening. You know, I am interested, but I don't know -- go ahead.

MR. MOORMAN: As an officer of the Court, okay, I am not going to share, I am not going to share any indications, but this is my take on what is happening, okay. Mr. Antle, 62 years old, heart condition, AFib, very serious, other health problems we have discussed, right. He is arrested, and this is his life in June, he is arrested, he is taken to J. Reuben Long. He is in a cell that is so hot that on occasion they open the door, fire alarm goes off, he has got to sleep on the concrete to try to keep cool. sort of the environment he is in, never been in there before, right. He is in there for 20-plus days, he loses close to 40 pounds while he is in there. As you might expect, when you have a jar like this at that age, things become a little bit -- you begin to think more about what is left, right. And part of what you

think about is, you know what, I am tired, right. I have been running this business for 30 years, 16 hours a day, right. I am beaten up because I am taking care of animals, and sometimes you get hurt, right. So, maybe at 62, maybe I need to slow down. Maybe I need to enjoy it as opposed to being the one who was responsible for the day-in, day-out 150 animals, thousand pounds of meat bringing it all in, Your Honor, I think that experience brought tremendous clarity to him.

And, quite frankly, to -- there is just no evidence to infer anything else. I mean, I think that had a profound -- I mean if you saw him before he went in and after, when he came out, tremendous difference.

THE COURT: Well, I take your word for what it is worth, and I have no reason to doubt that, but just in the generic sense, you know, if I have a case and somebody is unloading assets, that is a little bit of a red flag.

MR. MOORMAN: It is.

THE COURT: That could be the other explanation just as easily as what yours is.

MR. MOORMAN: I understand. But this is very unique, right, because this isn't a car that you are going to sell. This is a tenuous undertaking. I

mean, literally, every day something can happen. So, when you say unloading assets, he has got -- he has got an obligation, as the owner, as the -- I mean, quite frankly, the figure who is responsible for the survival of these animals, right, he also has the responsibility to make sure he can't just not have a plan and make sure that they are okay.

MR. BEASLEY: And these animals are not going anywhere. They are staying on the property.

THE COURT: My understanding is, any transfer of any of those animals is subject --

MR. BEASLEY: Well, somebody has to be able to continuously take care of these animals and legally.

And this is, by all accounts, we are doing this by the book, per USDA policy, and that is why we are doing it this way. We are not doing anything nefarious, nothing that nobody is not aware of.

THE COURT: I mean, if an animal was

transferred, does somebody not have to be notified?

MR. BEASLEY: It is all -- yes. Yes, all of
this is going through the USDA then. All of this.

THE COURT: Any argument with that?

MR. SHOEMAKE: Yes, Your Honor. Part of the evidence we have in this case is falsified forms to the USDA about what happened with these animals. Yes,

documentation is required, but Mr. Antle has a history of falsifying those documents.

MR. BEASLEY: Judge, if that was the case, they would deny his renewal license and shut down the whole thing already, but they can't, which is why they notified the Federal Government to come about it in another way. That is the whole point of this because they are doing everything by the book and they cannot shut down their license, they can't stop the transfer. That is the whole point. They are doing it by the book and that is the whole thing.

THE COURT: You know, I go back to this is an issue of bond.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Correct.

THE COURT: And my concerns are danger to the public and risk of flight. And what, you know, preservation of evidence, I just think this is the wrong place to be addressing that. But as to the transfer of assets, I do think that is relevant to that inquiry, and I don't know the details of any of that.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Your Honor, may I offer a suggestion? As I mentioned, we have alternative paths. I have talked to our asset forfeiture people. We plan by the end of next week to file civil proceedings restraining these assets and then we will seek an

injunction. And so if Your Honor would like, if your concern is the transfer, you could limit that order for, you know, 45, 60 days, that will give us time to get these other things in motion.

THE COURT: I still -- I don't think -- this isn't the right place for that. I mean, if I think that that goes to the risk of flight, then I think that that would be or a danger to the public then I think this is the proper forum to do that, but otherwise I just don't know that --

MR. SHOEMAKE: Your Honor, obviously, I respectfully disagree it doesn't go to risk of flight. But, again, I understand where you are coming from. Like I say, we do have alternative paths. I think this is the cleanest because I think it is a risk of flight issue. We are in the process of pursuing other avenues.

THE COURT: Look, how is it a risk of flight issue?

MR. SHOEMAKE: I think it goes back to the idea of divesting yourself of your primary assets and taking yourself out of the picture.

THE COURT: Well, I am trying to find out whether or not it is a primary asset, and I can put a provision in place, and I do intend to put a provision

in place that the Court is notified in advance of any potential transfer of the assets. You know, I think that I can do that. So, if any real estate is intended to be sold or anything like that, then I would know about it. I don't know why I wouldn't require the Government to be informed of that, as well.

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, I would just take issue of the primary asset, as we represented to Your Honor, the Myrtle Beach Safari is a very small piece of the assets he has got locally. So it is not the primary asset.

MR. BEASLEY: And we have never hidden any of this, we have been working with the USDA to do all of this, which is --

THE COURT: Is it, you know, the amount of the sale, is that something that can be shared?

MR. BEASLEY: Your Honor, there is no money amount. They are just transferring the ownership of the animals, that is all it is. That is what the USDA license requires for her to be able to take care of those animals, and keep them alive, and continue the business, that is the whole thing. That is the whole point of it.

THE COURT: The idea is to do that and then lease the park itself?

MR. BEASLEY: Correct. And he would still own 1 2 all the real estate. THE COURT: Mr. Shoemake? 3 MR. BEASLEY: And, Your Honor, it is obviously 4 it is illegal to sell the animals anyway, so he 5 wouldn't do that. It is just transferring ownership. 6 7 THE COURT: Well, there is already a provision 8 in the bond not to violate the law. 9 MR. BEASLEY: Correct. It is all per USDA 10 regulations doing what we are doing -- what they are 11 doing. 12 THE COURT: I will be glad to hear from you more, 13 Mr. Shoemake. I just think as far as the relatively 14 narrow scope of my consideration --15 MR. SHOEMAKE: Like I say, Your Honor, I think, 16 the Government has a different view of that, but I 17 fully understand what the Court is saying, and we do have --18 19 THE COURT: Well, I don't know why you have a different view of it. I think the, I mean, it is a 20 21 risk of flight issue and I agree to disposing of assets 22 is something that I would consider in that equation. 23 mean, you know, if all of the assets were disposed of 24 maybe detention is proper.

MR. SHOEMAKE: Well, Your Honor, I do think that

25

protecting the evidence I do think is something that the Court has considered in the past and can be considered. And I think, I mean, we have heard back and forth an issue I mentioned of transferring animals, I think there was some conversation they weren't seeking to do that, now it is clear they -- it is exactly what they are doing is seeking to transfer ownership of animals, that is illegal and contraband and we think -- we believe that to be obstructive conduct. I understand what the Court is saying, but, you know, that is our position. But, again, we do have, as Your Honor mentioned, there are other avenues the Government has, as well, that are more in line with strictly speaking --

THE COURT: Yeah, again, I think if something illegal is transpiring then that could be an issue of bond if I made a determination that illegal conduct was going on, that would, but my solution to that is not necessarily to stop doing what you are doing. My solution is whether or not -- I mean, I guess that could be a solution, whether to have a bond in place or not. Is there a timing aspect of when this transfer is supposed to take place?

MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, we will check. My understanding, again, in talking with the lawyers on the regulatory side of this, the transfer won't take place

until the permitting or licensing is accomplished. So, part of the timetable will be dictated by what happens on that front, which is uncertain.

MR. BEASLEY: It should have already been done, but they canceled the inspection and they have been delaying, the USDA, so there is no time frame. He can continue to operate right now until they deny, or transfer, or whatever, he is perfectly compliant with the USDA at this point. So, we don't have a time frame because it is in their -- it is with them, it is in their hands.

THE COURT: Sometimes I do put conditions on bond that do relate to the safety of the public. I am thinking of things in particular and that doesn't appear to be what the Government's position is here, it all relates to the risk of flight.

Any objection if I put a condition of bond that Mr. Antle notify the Court of any transfer of any assets? And also let the Government know, as well?

MR. MOORMAN: Just a couple of things came to mind. I mean, conceptually, that doesn't give me heartburn, but permanently I would say assets is a very broad term.

THE COURT: I am thinking it through as you are talking. I don't mean to paperclip.

MR. MOORMAN: The other thing, you know, Your Honor, again, that I always come back to is if the sale is not -- is lawful, and it is not in violation of the bond then -- and it is not related to the case, so, for example, Your Honor put a condition on bond saying provided the -- providing the probation office with access to record -- his financial records upon request.

THE COURT: I did that.

MR. MOORMAN: You did that. That is already in place, for example, right? So, just thinking through just sort of, and I don't want to assume, Your Honor, in thinking through some of the contours of a proposed condition like this, what would be the motivation for imposing a condition would be like that would be, what that --

THE COURT: Well, obviously, the sale of assets and, you know, I am thinking in terms of substantial assets, but I am reluctant to use that term.

MR. BEASLEY: Might refer to real estate.

THE COURT: I don't have his financial statement right here. My recollection is that Park has a significant value and real estate has a significant value.

MR. MOORMAN: I don't think we have any objection as it relates to real estate.

THE COURT: Mr. Shoemake, any ideas on that issue on particular assets that may apply to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHOEMAKE: I mean, I don't object to that, I mean, to be frank, Your Honor, I think Your Honor. the primary concern the Government has is the Safari Park and those animals. So, I mean, those other assets are potentially substitute assets down the road, obviously if he starts divesting himself of more assets, I think given the ambit of where the Court is I think limiting it to real estate is fine. I mean, obviously take issue with any transfer of the animals, which we made clear, but, again, I understand that is outside the scope of what we are talking about now. Ι wouldn't have trouble with real estate in these conditions.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I am just going to make that any sale or other transfer or divestiture of any real estate or Myrtle Beach Safari Park --

MR. MOORMAN: And I want to make sure --

THE COURT: -- or its assets.

MR. MOORMAN: Okay. I want to make sure we eliminate ambiguity, as Your Honor does. Sale, transfer, you said what was the last part divestiture.

THE COURT: Divestiture. I am trying to cover all ambits, that we cover sale. I take it we

```
understand the intent of that is to cover any transfer
 1
 2
       whatsoever of his ownership to anybody else, whether for
 3
       profit, for money, for five dollars love and affection,
 4
       it doesn't matter.
 5
             MR. MOORMAN: And condition is a notice
 6
       requirement?
 7
             THE COURT: And to notify the Court ten days
 8
       prior to any.
 9
             MR. MOORMAN: Okay.
10
                          Okay. Anything further?
             THE COURT:
11
             MR. MOORMAN: Nothing further.
12
             MR. SHOEMAKE: No, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT:
                          All right. Thank y'all very much.
14
             MR. MOORMAN: Thank you. Appreciate it.
15
       Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Yes, sir.
17
             MR. MOORMAN: Are you going to issue a Written
       Order or Text Order?
18
19
             THE COURT: That is a verbal Order in place now
20
       effective now, but I will, I am going to write it out
21
       and make sure I like the verbiage, I will do a Text
22
       Order, though.
23
             MR. MOORMAN: Thank you Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
         (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 12:28 p.m.)
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE					
2						
3						
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript					
5	from the official electronic sound recording of the					
6	proceedings in the above-entitle matter.					
7						
8						
9						
10	S/Debra R. Bull September 22, 2022 Date					
11	Date II. Buri					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

_	ability [6] - 8:14,	allegation [1] - 15:24	20:1, 20:8, 21:25,	attached [1] - 3:20
\$	9:23, 9:24, 22:17,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23:14, 24:12, 24:16,	
\$200,000 [2] - 24:16,	, , ,	allegations [1] -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	attempt [2] - 7:1,
	22:25, 26:4	28:19	26:10, 30:14, 31:13,	8:11
24:22	able [4] - 8:22, 9:5,	allege [2] - 11:19,	34:1, 39:18	attempting [2] -
	33:12, 36:20	11:20	Antle's [4] - 11:21,	3:22, 24:16
1	above-entitle [1] -	allow [7] - 6:21, 7:5,	12:2, 27:20, 27:25	attorney [1] - 9:7
40-F0 m 2-4	43:6	8:4, 10:20, 10:21,	anyway [1] - 37:5	ATTORNEY'S [2] -
10:59 [1] - 3:1	absolutely [1] - 20:9	10:24, 25:18	appealing [1] - 15:13	1:13, 1:16
120 [4] - 13:5, 13:20,	absurd [1] - 26:24	allowed [4] - 6:4,	appear [1] - 39:14	Attorney's [1] - 23:4
15:22, 21:12	accept [1] - 6:16	10:7, 25:25, 26:21	appearance [3] -	attorneys [1] - 12:9
12:28 [1] - 42:25	• • •	· · ·	16:11, 16:16, 17:5	
130 [1] - 13:5	access [1] - 40:7	allowing [1] - 25:19	-	AUSA [2] - 1:12, 1:15
14 [1] - 1:4	accomplished [4] -	almost [1] - 17:9	APPEARANCES [2] -	avenues [2] - 35:17,
15 [1] - 29:7	21:5, 27:4, 28:17,	alternative [2] -	1:11, 2:1	38:12
150 [2] - 13:3, 32:7	39:1	34:22, 35:14	appearing [1] - 11:6	avoid [1] - 25:6
	according [2] - 4:7,	ambiguity [1] - 41:22	application [1] - 12:2	awaiting [1] - 25:12
151 [1] - 1:16	9:6	ambit [1] - 41:9	applications [1] -	aware [3] - 7:1,
16 [2] - 24:1, 32:2	accounts [4] - 5:11,	ambits [1] - 41:25	12:1	23:12, 33:17
	5:12, 8:1, 33:14	AMERICA [1] - 1:3	apply [1] - 41:2	20.12, 00.11
2	accurate [1] - 5:4	• •	appointments [2] -	
40 11 12 25		amount [4] - 29:14,		В
20 [5] - 13:11, 18:22,	acknowledges [1] -	30:1, 36:15, 36:18	12:7, 12:8	bank [1] - 7:25
21:18, 23:20, 30:8	11:13	amounts [1] - 16:24	appreciate [1] -	based [3] - 3:23,
20-plus [1] - 31:21	Act [5] - 5:17, 8:4,	AMY [1] - 1:15	42:14	,
200 [1] - 1:17	10:9, 10:23, 25:21	anchors [1] - 16:13	approaches [1] - 9:1	26:17, 26:19
2020 [1] - 27:13	actions [1] - 11:10	ANDERSON [1] - 2:3	appropriate [3] -	basis [3] - 12:12,
2022 [2] - 1:4, 43:10	actively [1] - 13:16	ANDREW [1] - 1:18	21:7, 26:11, 27:5	12:13, 28:1
20th [2] - 27:18,	activities [2] - 6:19,	animal [15] - 10:8,	aptly [1] - 14:12	Beach [17] - 3:23,
27:22	17:1	13:24, 14:6, 14:10,	area [3] - 6:22, 30:3,	5:12, 5:13, 6:11, 7:24,
	activity [1] - 11:15	14:11, 15:25, 25:1,	30:13	9:5, 11:12, 12:19,
22 [1] - 43:10	•			13:4, 21:2, 27:14,
222 [1] - 1:14	actual [1] - 8:18	25:16, 27:3, 28:2,	arguably [1] - 10:4	30:13, 30:24, 31:1,
29401 [1] - 1:17	add [1] - 11:18	28:3, 28:14, 33:18	argue [2] - 16:24,	31:2, 36:9, 41:18
29501 [1] - 1:14	adding [1] - 8:16	animal's [2] - 13:23,	18:14	BEASLEY [17] -
29601 [2] - 1:20, 1:23	addition [1] - 10:7	14:3	argument [7] - 4:18,	1:21, 1:22, 3:16,
29624 [1] - 2:3	address [2] - 4:11,	animals [58] - 3:25,	16:19, 17:3, 17:13,	
2ND [1] - 1:20	5:3	6:4, 6:12, 7:7, 8:3,	19:10, 19:15, 33:22	18:21, 19:2, 23:1,
2112 [1] 1120	addressing [1] -	8:5, 8:8, 8:22, 10:12,	arguments [2] - 6:2,	33:8, 33:12, 33:20,
_	34:18	10:18, 10:22, 12:23,	16:17	34:3, 36:12, 36:17,
3	admitted [2] - 25:4,	13:3, 13:6, 13:18,	arrested [4] - 23:17,	37:1, 37:4, 37:9, 39:4,
30 [2] - 24:2, 32:2	27:1	13:21, 14:1, 14:22,	24:24, 31:15, 31:16	40:19
3142 [3] - 16:10,				Beasley [1] - 30:6
	admitting [1] - 26:22	15:22, 16:2, 16:3,	ascends [1] - 18:4	beaten [1] - 32:3
16:12, 16:13	advance [1] - 36:1	18:1, 20:14, 21:4,	aside [1] - 8:15	become [4] - 6:7,
315 [1] - 2:3	affection [1] - 42:3	21:12, 21:14, 22:3,	aspect [1] - 38:21	6:8, 15:13, 31:24
	affiant [1] - 28:9	22:9, 22:13, 22:16,	asset [7] - 7:23,	becomes [1] - 9:17
4	affidavit [1] - 28:17	22:17, 23:2, 24:18,	17:24, 18:10, 34:23,	
40 ··· 04 00	AFib [1] - 31:14	24:22, 25:11, 25:21,	35:24, 36:8, 36:11	bedrock [2] - 28:1,
40 [1] - 31:22	age [1] - 31:24	26:13, 26:15, 26:18,	assets [28] - 7:19,	28:14
401 [1] - 1:13	agency [1] - 28:21	26:23, 27:1, 27:7,	8:15, 18:8, 18:22,	BEFORE [1] - 1:9
416 [2] - 1:19, 1:22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	32:4, 32:7, 33:5, 33:8,	18:23, 19:10, 22:10,	began [1] - 24:15
45 [1] - 35:3	agent [2] - 22:4,	33:11, 33:13, 33:25,	29:23, 30:1, 30:8,	begin [2] - 24:3,
4:22-580 [1] - 3:5	28:20	36:19, 36:21, 37:5,		31:24
4:22-CR-580 [1] - 1:3	ago [2] - 3:8, 26:18	38:4, 38:7, 41:6,	32:18, 33:2, 34:19,	beginning [1] - 12:15
	agree [4] - 17:23,		34:25, 35:21, 36:2,	belief [1] - 16:22
•	24:12, 28:7, 37:21	41:11	36:10, 37:21, 37:23,	
6	agreed [1] - 6:23	ANTLE [3] - 1:6, 1:6,	39:19, 39:22, 40:16,	believes [1] - 13:22
60 [1] - 35:3	ahead [2] - 30:9,	1:7	40:18, 41:2, 41:6,	best [2] - 13:23
62 [5] - 23:14, 23:19,	31:9	antle [1] - 14:9	41:7, 41:8, 41:20	better [4] - 16:3,
	AIDED [1] - 2:5	Antle [32] - 3:5, 3:13,	associated [2] -	16:9, 27:3
24:1, 31:13, 32:5	= =	3:22, 4:2, 4:3, 4:6,	15:4, 20:20	between [2] - 13:4,
	ALAN [1] - 1:12	4:8, 6:4, 7:6, 8:20,	assume [3] - 17:21,	30:19
Α	alarm [1] - 31:18	9:2, 10:4, 11:7, 11:9,	19:9, 40:11	beyond [2] - 5:2,
2 m (4) 2:4	algae [1] - 15:8	12:4, 12:9, 13:8, 13:9,		26:19
a.m [1] - 3:1	alive [1] - 36:21		assure [3] - 16:11,	BHAGAVAN [1] - 1:6
A/K/A [2] - 1:6, 1:7		13:22, 15:2, 16:1,	16:15, 17:5	DIAGAVAN[i] - 1.0

13:17, 14:20, 17:11,

court [2] - 14:12,

big [2] - 15:7, 29:25 chimps [4] - 21:17, conditions [9] - 7:9, 16:12 care [4] - 13:25, COURT [60] - 1:1, bills [1] - 13:11 32:3, 33:13, 36:20 21:18, 22:5 7:13, 11:22, 14:6, birth [1] - 14:10 cared [2] - 15:23, Circuit [1] - 7:20 16:10, 16:15, 20:2, 1:10, 2:2, 2:2, 3:2, 39:12, 41:15 3:3, 3:11, 3:13, 11:2, bit [3] - 25:4, 31:24, 16:3 cite [1] - 7:21 civil [2] - 9:24, 34:24 conduct [9] - 7:23, 17:15, 17:19, 17:22, 32:18 caring [1] - 16:2 **CAROLINA** [1] - 1:1 15:3, 19:7, 20:1, 18:6, 18:12, 18:17, black [1] - 9:11 clarifications [1] -18:24, 19:9, 19:21, **BOND** [1] - 1:10 case [28] - 3:9, 5:9, 22:23, 24:12, 28:20, 38:9, 38:16 20:3, 20:5, 20:16, Bond [1] - 3:6 5:10, 5:22, 7:17, 11:6, clarity [1] - 32:9 22:8, 23:7, 29:2, 29:5, confident [1] - 26:17 11:7, 11:8, 12:16, bond [32] - 3:8, 4:13, clean [1] - 6:18 29:10, 30:16, 31:7, conjecture [1] - 17:6 5:10, 6:3, 7:9, 7:16, 15:5, 15:15, 15:25, cleanest [2] - 9:22, 32:15, 32:21, 33:10, 16:21, 21:24, 23:16, consider [2] - 23:20, 8:20, 9:23, 10:19, 35:15 33:18, 33:22, 34:12, 24:15, 25:8, 26:23, 37:22 10:20, 11:18, 11:19, clear [7] - 4:4, 7:12, 34:15, 35:5, 35:18, 26:25, 27:11, 28:14, 7:20, 16:8, 24:10, consideration [2] -11:22, 15:2, 16:10, 35:23, 36:15, 36:24, 19:25, 20:1, 20:2, 29:1, 29:17, 29:19, 38:6. 41:12 29:12, 37:14 37:3, 37:7, 37:12, 32:17, 33:24, 34:3, 22:23, 22:24, 26:10, client [3] - 13:17, **considered** [2] - 38:2 37:19, 38:14, 39:12, 40:4 29:11, 29:14, 34:13, constitute [1] - 25:21 15:23, 17:2 39:24, 40:8, 40:16, cases [1] - 7:20 37:8, 38:16, 38:20, contend [1] - 24:19 client's [2] - 17:1, 40:20, 41:1, 41:16, 39:12, 39:17, 40:4, catalyst [1] - 11:11 context [1] - 23:13 17:7 41:20, 41:24, 42:7, 40:5 caused [1] - 15:15 continuation [1] close [2] - 26:9, 42:10, 42:13, 42:16, cell [1] - 31:17 **bonds** [1] - 7:13 31:22 12:18 42:19, 42:24 **book** [3] - 33:15, cellphone [4] collecting [1] - 4:19 continue [3] - 10:5, Court's [1] - 22:4 34:8, 34:10 27:24, 27:25, 28:10, coming [2] - 10:13, 36:21, 39:7 **courtroom** [1] - 3:14 **bottom** [1] - 21:6 28:16 CONTINUED [1] cover [3] - 41:24, bought [1] - 24:19 certain [1] - 18:19 2:1 commenced [1] - 3:1 41:25, 42:1 **BOWER** [1] - 1:15 certainly [3] - 6:17, comment [2] - 22:20, continuously [1] -CR [1] - 1:3 9:24, 18:7 break [1] - 29:5 33:13 created [5] - 4:2, CERTIFICATE [1] breakdown [1] contours [1] - 40:12 common [1] - 23:17 23:10, 25:3, 25:5, 30:12 contraband [3] communicated [1] -25:6 brief [1] - 3:17 certify [1] - 43:4 25:20, 25:21, 38:8 12:5 creates [1] - 8:16 briefly [1] - 24:10 **CFR** [1] - 20:22 **community** [3] - 9:8, control [2] - 13:16, criminal [1] - 7:22 change [1] - 18:15 bring [1] - 13:24 22:22 13:20 critical [2] - 14:20, changed [2] - 7:2, controlling [1] bringing [1] - 32:8 company [1] - 21:11 18:5 broad [1] - 39:23 19:24 complete [2] - 17:16, 20:13 **CRR** [1] - 2:2 **changes** [1] - 12:7 brought [3] - 12:17, conversation [1] cruelty [1] - 25:1 29:18, 32:9 characteristic [1] completely [2] - 4:5, 38:5 curious [1] - 17:21 28:25 cool [1] - 31:19 built [3] - 13:8, 21:6 custody [1] - 4:2 characterization [1] 14:25, 24:5 compliance [1] cooperators [3] -**BULL** [1] - 2:2 24:21, 26:17, 26:19 charged [7] - 5:6, D Bull [1] - 43:10 **compliant** [2] - 20:2, copious [1] - 7:24 business [24] - 6:15, 5:15, 5:17, 6:6, 24:25, correct [4] - 34:14, danger [2] - 34:15, 37:1, 37:9, 43:4 27:12, 27:13 6:17, 12:19, 12:24, comply [1] - 11:21 35:8 charges [5] - 5:7, counsel [2] - 23:11, 13:7, 13:8, 13:12, component [1] -Date [1] - 43:10 24:20, 25:1, 28:2, 24:11 13:16, 13:18, 14:20, 21:24 day-in [1] - 32:7 28:3 Counts [1] - 21:14 15:3, 17:10, 18:1, **compound** [2] - 4:3, day-out [1] - 32:7 CHARLESTON [1] -20:13, 20:22, 21:20, **couple** [4] - 3:7, days [4] - 23:20, 22:14, 22:18, 30:2, 1:17 conceptually [1] -4:11, 29:6, 39:20 31:21, 35:3, 42:7 check [2] - 30:19, 30:9, 32:2, 36:22 course [1] - 19:25 deal [1] - 15:7 businessman [1] -38:23 Court [33] - 3:10, concern [6] - 8:25, dealing [3] - 7:17, cheetah [1] - 10:15 3:21, 7:8, 7:16, 9:23, 9:5, 14:16, 21:4, 35:2, 7:19, 8:25 buy [1] - 24:18 cheetahs [6] - 5:20, 41:5 10:1, 10:6, 10:23, death [1] - 14:11 10:11, 10:14, 10:24, 10:25, 11:4, 11:18, concerns [2] - 20:9, debate [1] - 17:2 25:19, 26:16 34:15 14:10, 14:14, 14:15, С **DEBRA**[1] - 2:2 children [1] - 17:7 concluded [1] -14:18, 15:20, 16:25, decades [5] - 12:4, cage [1] - 22:5 **chimp** [1] - 25:15 42:25 19:6, 21:20, 24:14, 13:9, 14:25, 15:24, cancel [1] - 12:16 chimpanzee [4] -25:13, 25:14, 26:22, concrete [2] - 24:2, 17:10 canceled [1] - 39:5 5:20, 10:15, 25:11, 27:20, 27:21, 31:10, 31:19 decision [2] - 4:24, cancels [1] - 12:8 25:17 36:1, 37:17, 38:2, condition [10] - 6:3, cannot [2] - 20:22, chimpanzees [5] -38:10, 39:18, 41:9, 10:19, 10:20, 16:25, decisions [5] -10:11, 10:14, 10:24, 42:7 31:13, 39:17, 40:5,

40:13, 40:14, 42:5

car [1] - 32:24

25:18, 26:16

20:13, 21:20 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, encumbered [1] -31:23 flag [1] - 32:19 expenditures [1] deep[1] - 4:14 flagged [1] - 4:23 DEFENDANT [2] divest [3] - 4:6, 9:6, end [2] - 20:9, 34:24 15:14 flight [15] - 8:25, 9:2, 1:8. 1:18 endanger [1] - 14:22 expensive [1] -9:3, 9:17, 17:12, **Defendant** [3] - 8:13, divesting [2] - 35:21, 13:14 17:18, 19:16, 30:4, endangered [7] -9:17, 16:12 5:18, 12:23, 13:5, **experience** [1] - 32:9 34:16, 35:7, 35:12, defendant [1] - 5:6 divestiture [3] -15:22, 21:12, 21:15, explanation [1] -35:16, 35:18, 37:21, Defendant's [2] -41:17, 41:23, 41:24 26:15 32:21 39:16 6:14, 16:16 divests [1] - 18:12 Endangered [2] expressed [1] -FLOOR [1] - 1:20 defense [10] - 4:10, **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 10:9, 10:22 14:16 floor [1] - 24:2 4:15, 4:20, 5:23, 6:20, divorce [1] - 22:14 enforce [1] - 22:6 extension [1] - 22:21 **FLORENCE** [3] - 1:2, 8:24, 11:2, 23:11, enforceability [2] -1:3, 1:14 **DNA**[1] - 22:3 extra [1] - 8:16 24:11, 27:20 focus [1] - 16:10 **DOC** [1] - 1:7 25:23, 26:3 **extremely** [1] - 15:23 delaying [1] - 39:6 documentation [1] enforced [1] - 21:21 footnote [1] - 6:15 denies [1] - 23:19 34:1 enforcement [1] -**FOR** [3] - 1:1, 1:12, deny [3] - 12:12, 22:1 documents [3] -1:18 facetious [2] - 11:6, 34:4, 39:7 foregoing [1] - 43:4 4:21, 4:22, 34:2 engage [1] - 7:14 15:20 dependent [1] - 13:6 dollars [1] - 42:3 enjoy [2] - 24:5, 32:6 forfeiture [2] - 29:20, facilitate [2] - 7:21, **DEREK** [1] - 1:12 done [5] - 5:11, 5:14, enter [1] - 29:15 34:23 destroy [1] - 7:11 22:5, 24:23, 39:4 enters [1] - 7:9 forms [2] - 27:25, fact [7] - 4:6, 4:18, destroyed [1] - 10:4 door [1] - 31:18 entire [2] - 8:16, 33:24 6:8, 8:7, 18:7, 24:21, destruction [2] -27:13 forth [1] - 38:3 double [1] - 30:19 29:25 7:14, 7:15 double-check [1] entirely [3] - 4:24, forum [1] - 35:9 factors [1] - 29:25 detail [1] - 28:25 30:19 5:4, 6:17 forward [2] - 3:10, facts [3] - 4:15, details [1] - 34:20 doubt [1] - 32:16 entirety [1] - 28:25 3:11 19:15, 27:11 detain [1] - 29:24 entitle [1] - 43:6 Fourth [1] - 7:19 dovetail [1] - 4:12 factual [1] - 5:25 detention [3] - 5:10, frame [2] - 39:6, 39:9 environment [1] down [6] - 22:4, failures [1] - 11:21 frank [1] - 41:4 23:18, 37:24 24:4, 32:5, 34:4, 34:9, 31:20 fair [1] - 6:24 determination [1] -41:7 equation [2] - 18:15, frankly [5] - 16:17, falsified [1] - 33:24 22:15, 23:23, 32:11, 38:16 drive [3] - 8:18, 37:22 **falsifying** [1] - 34:2 determine [1] - 22:3 19:18 equivalent [1] -33:4 family [5] - 17:7, developed [1] - 18:1 driver's [2] - 19:13, 25:19 fraudster [1] - 25:4 18:8, 22:24, 24:8, dictated [1] - 39:2 especially [1] - 24:8 freely [1] - 26:4 19:17 30:3 difference [2] during [3] - 5:9, 6:2, ESQ [2] - 1:18, 1:21 front [2] - 12:17, 39:3 far [4] - 6:9, 29:24, 22:13, 32:14 essence [2] - 29:13, full [1] - 4:5 30:8, 37:13 different [5] - 14:2, fully [1] - 37:17 **favor** [1] - 30:5 20:16, 22:9, 37:16, essential [1] - 22:12 fundamental [1] -Ε **FBI** [2] - 5:1, 22:2 37:20 estate [12] - 18:16, earth [1] - 24:24 federal [2] - 20:21, 18:25, 23:2, 30:23, diminished [1] fundamentally [2] easily [1] - 32:22 24:20 30:13 36:3, 37:2, 40:19, 4:16, 28:24 **EAST**[2] - 1:19, 1:22 Federal [2] - 25:14, 40:22, 40:25, 41:10, directly [3] - 17:17, effective [1] - 42:20 34:6 41:14, 41:18 26:4, 27:24 G effectively [4] - 4:5, felt [1] - 5:24 evade [1] - 25:10 disagree [4] - 4:16, few [1] - 24:10 6:20, 9:9, 29:14 game [1] - 18:18 18:3, 28:24, 35:12 evaluate [1] - 10:17 figure [1] - 33:4 effort [1] - 12:17 generic [1] - 32:17 discover [1] - 26:1 **EVANS** [1] - 1:13 either [1] - 12:12 file [2] - 9:24, 34:24 given [4] - 6:15, discovery [1] - 28:13 evidence [16] - 5:8, **electronic** [2] - 20:8, **filed** [2] - 3:7, 4:10 23:15, 23:16, 41:9 discretion [1] - 8:7 7:9, 7:11, 7:15, 7:24, filings [1] - 24:15 glad [1] - 37:12 discussed [2] -8:2, 8:13, 10:3, 24:20, eliminate [1] - 41:22 financial [5] - 5:1, Government [29] -24:14, 31:15 25:7, 28:1, 29:17, embezzlement [2] -14:21, 15:16, 40:7, 3:7, 7:5, 8:4, 8:14, discussion [2] -32:12, 33:24, 34:17, 40:20 8:21, 10:25, 11:13, 28:11, 28:19 38:1 6:24, 6:25 fine [1] - 41:10 11:17, 11:20, 12:21, employed [1] - 4:9 evidentiary [2] disposed [1] - 37:23 finely [1] - 14:25 13:15, 14:3, 14:9, employee [3] - 9:8, 27:19, 27:21 disposing [1] - 37:21 finely-tuned [1] -14:19, 15:21, 16:23, exactly [1] - 38:6 dispute [1] - 3:19 14:25 23:18, 24:11, 25:7, employees [1] example [4] - 14:24, distinction [2] - 7:18, 25:10, 28:1, 28:4, fire [1] - 31:18 13:11 15:1, 40:5, 40:10 28:8, 34:6, 36:6, empowered [1] -FIRM [1] - 1:19 excess [1] - 16:24 distinguishable [1] -37:16, 38:12, 39:19, 13:17 first [2] - 7:8, 30:10 exchange [1] - 6:22 encountered [1] fits [1] - 9:22 District [2] - 9:25, **execution** [1] - 28:15 GOVERNMENT [1] -15:5 five [1] - 42:3 expect [2] - 8:10,

29:18

1:12 14:9, 14:12, 14:13, 9:16, 11:23, 11:25, 13:16, 13:23, 13:24, 24:17, 25:5 12:20, 20:15, 24:13, 17:22 Government's [3] -14:16, 14:19, 16:8, **LAW** [2] - 1:19, 1:22 21:10, 28:14, 39:15 16:14, 17:20, 18:3, 28:15 interested [1] - 31:8 law [5] - 7:11, 7:19, 18:14, 18:21, 19:3, importantly [1] -20:22, 22:1, 37:8 grand [1] - 24:7 interfere [1] - 14:19 grandchildren [1] -19:5, 19:12, 19:23, 7:25 intrusion [1] - 14:18 lawful [7] - 11:15, 17.8 19:24, 20:12, 21:9, impose [2] - 14:18, investigate [1] - 26:1 13:16, 17:1, 17:3, 21:19, 22:6, 22:11, grant [2] - 21:9, 16.14 involved [5] - 5:18, 19:7, 21:20, 40:3 23:1. 23:6. 23:18. 27:21 imposed [1] - 14:14 5:21, 6:19, 9:10, **lawfully** [1] - 27:5 24:9, 25:9, 25:11, granted [1] - 12:18 imposing [1] - 40:14 28:20 lawyer [1] - 9:9 25:23, 26:2, 26:6, grants [1] - 27:20 **improve** [1] - 14:3 issue [20] - 6:6, 6:7, lawyers [4] - 3:15, 26:12, 26:14, 26:20, greater [1] - 9:17 IN [1] - 1:1 6:9, 7:16, 17:17, 4:7, 5:3, 38:24 26:24, 27:2, 27:5, green [2] - 15:6, 15:9 incendiary [1] -17:18, 25:2, 26:2, layer [1] - 8:16 27:10, 27:11, 28:22, 17:13 29:19, 30:4, 34:13, GREENVILLE [2] lease [2] - 30:19, 30:10, 30:11, 32:8, 1:20, 1:23 include [2] - 18:25, 35:16, 35:19, 36:7, 36:24 33:23, 34:21, 35:1, 37:21, 38:4, 38:15, grounds [1] - 7:25 19:4 least [6] - 4:7, 5:19, 35:11, 36:7, 36:8, 41:2, 41:11, 42:17 6:10, 9:6, 16:14, **growing** [1] - 9:5 including [2] - 18:9, 36:17, 37:4, 37:15, 24:21 issues [3] - 5:16, 25:20 guess [2] - 10:10, 37:25, 38:11, 38:23, 20:17, 22:9 incumbent [1] - 5:24 leave [1] - 19:19 38:19 40:2, 40:5, 40:11, itself [6] - 4:1, 7:25, independent [1] **left** [2] - 30:13, 31:25 **guidepost** [1] - 16:12 41:4, 41:22, 42:12, 26:6 12:24, 15:16, 21:8, guy [2] - 23:19, 25:2 legal [3] - 6:2, 7:14, 42:15, 42:23 36:25 indicated [1] - 28:8 8:17 Honor's [2] - 21:21, indications [1] legally [1] - 33:13 Н 22:20 31:12 J legitimacy [1] - 6:16 habitat [1] - 14:2 HONORABLE [1] -Indictment [5] legitimate [2] - 6:17, jail [4] - 23:9, 23:10, handling [1] - 29:19 10:13, 21:15, 25:17, 23:12, 23:19 hands [2] - 25:7, hopeful [2] - 8:10, 26:16, 29:21 less [2] - 15:13, jar [1] - 31:23 9:21 indictment [4] - 5:8, 15:14 job [5] - 25:24, hot [1] - 31:17 hard [1] - 28:8 9:12, 28:11, 28:18 letter [3] - 3:21, 4:4, 25:25, 26:20 haven [1] - 25:15 hours [2] - 24:1, 32:2 infer [1] - 32:12 9.11 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:10 health [2] - 22:17, house [1] - 23:17 information [4] level [1] - 14:17 Judge [3] - 29:4, 31:14 houses [1] - 8:3 11:14, 14:15, 16:22, liability [1] - 14:17 29:18, 34:3 hundred [1] - 26:8 hear [4] - 11:2, 29:12 license [7] - 12:2, June [2] - 20:9, hurt [1] - 32:4 16:19, 29:3, 37:12 informed [2] - 3:22, 12:3, 19:13, 19:17, 31:16 heard [3] - 27:11, 36:6 34:4, 34:9, 36:20 justice [3] - 7:12, 27:17, 38:3 infrastructure [2] licensed [1] - 20:23 7:15, 25:6 **HEARING** [1] - 1:10 13:12, 25:12 licensing [5] - 3:24, idea [6] - 21:19, 24:5, hearing [8] - 3:1, 5:3, inherently [1] - 3:24 11:12, 20:21, 21:3, 24:23, 25:2, 35:20, Κ 5:9, 5:10, 6:2, 27:19, initial [1] - 6:10 39:1 36:24 27:22, 42:25 injunction [2] - 9:25, life [2] - 14:3, 31:16 keep [3] - 8:21, ideas [1] - 41:1 heart [1] - 31:13 limit [1] - 35:2 31:19, 36:21 identification [1] heartburn [1] - 39:22 innocent [1] - 25:2 limiting [2] - 20:14, keeping [1] - 18:4 21:23 held [1] - 29:9 inquiry [1] - 34:20 41:10 keeps [2] - 10:2, 10:4 identified [1] - 14:12 hiccup [1] - 15:15 inspection [2] line [3] - 6:10, 21:6, **KEVIN** [1] - 1:6 identify [3] - 21:22, hidden [1] - 36:12 12:14, 39:5 38:13 kind (3) - 11:19. 26:13, 27:7 himself [5] - 9:6, inspections [1] lines [1] - 24:23 20:10, 23:16 III_[1] - 1:9 18:12, 23:16, 24:6, 12:8 lis [1] - 6:21 known [1] - 7:2 illegal [5] - 6:19, 41:8 institution [1] - 5:1 list [3] - 12:23, 13:5, knows [1] - 16:9 37:5, 38:8, 38:15, history [3] - 20:1, instruct [1] - 21:25 15:23 38:16 22:23, 34:1 instrumentalities [1] listed [2] - 10:12, **illegally** [5] - 8:3, L hit [1] - 29:10 10:22 10:16, 10:18, 24:19, Honor [99] - 3:4, 3:6, Lacey [3] - 5:17, 8:4, instrumentality [1] literally [3] - 13:6, 25:18 3:12, 3:16, 3:17, 3:21, 25:21 7:21 14:22, 33:1 illustrations [1] - 6:1 4:10, 4:14, 5:5, 5:9, **laid** [2] - 3:18, 4:15 intend [1] - 35:25 live [2] - 17:7, 17:8 impact [1] - 14:21 5:15, 5:22, 5:25, 6:4, land [1] - 30:15 intended [1] - 36:4 lived [1] - 17:9 implication [1] -7:4, 7:8, 7:17, 8:5, landscape [1] - 20:7 intent [3] - 25:9, lives [4] - 4:3, 13:6, 23:23 8:12, 8:23, 9:4, 9:15, large [2] - 9:2, 9:4 27:1, 42:1 14:22, 26:9 implies [1] - 23:22 9:19, 11:3, 11:8, last [5] - 5:16, 6:2, intention [1] - 26:22 **LLC** [11] - 4:1, 6:13, importance [1] -11:16, 11:20, 11:22, 9:19, 9:20, 41:23 interact [1] - 21:25 8:19, 23:10, 25:3,

interaction [1] - 14:5

interest [6] - 4:6, 8:7,

important [8] - 7:18,

laundering [7] - 5:6,

5:7, 5:11, 7:22, 8:1,

26:8, 30:23, 30:24,

31:2, 31:5

12:17, 12:20, 12:22,

13:15, 13:19, 14:4,

look [2] - 28:13, 35:18 looking [2] - 20:7, 20.20 loses [1] - 31:22 loss [1] - 16:24 love [1] - 42:3 lying [1] - 5:1 М machinations [1] machine [1] - 14:25 MAGISTRATE [1] -MAHAMAYAVI [1] mainstay [1] - 18:4 maintain [1] - 19:18 man [1] - 24:23 management [1] mandate [1] - 16:13 matter [3] - 22:7, 42:4, 43:6 matters [1] - 23:14 MBS [2] - 19:4, 19:5 MCDUFFIE [1] - 2:3 mean [31] - 3:25, 17:5, 17:16, 17:25, 18:2, 18:17, 19:14, 22:2, 22:20, 22:21, 26:14, 27:8, 29:13, 29:23, 32:12, 32:13, 33:1, 33:3, 33:18, 35:6, 37:20, 37:23, 38:3, 38:19, 39:21, 39:25, 41:3, 41:4, 41:6, 41:10 meat [1] - 32:8 meet [1] - 13:11 **MEETING** [1] - 1:16 member [2] - 30:23, members [1] - 15:10 mention [2] - 16:7, 20:20 mentioned [4] -24:14, 34:22, 38:4, 38:12 method [1] - 10:2 might [2] - 31:23, mind [2] - 7:4, 39:21 minutes [1] - 29:7

misdemeanors [2] -

mistreatment [1] -

28:5

locally [1] - 36:10

15:25 modification [1] -26:10 Modification [1] - 3:6 MODIFICATION [1] -1:10 modified [1] - 4:13 modify [1] - 13:1 money [10] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:10, 7:21, 8:1, 16:23, 24:16, 25:5, 36:17, 42:3

monitoring [1] - 20:8

month [1] - 12:15 Moorman [1] - 29:22 MOORMAN [41] -1:18, 1:19, 3:12, 11:3, 17:18, 17:20, 17:25, 18:11, 18:14, 18:20, 19:3, 19:12, 19:22, 20:4, 20:6, 20:18, 22:11, 23:5, 23:8, 26:12, 26:25, 30:10, 30:18, 30:22, 31:10, 32:20, 32:23, 36:7, 38:23, 39:20, 40:1, 40:9, 40:24, 41:19, 41:21, 42:5, 42:9, 42:11, 42:14, 42:17, 42:23

most [3] - 4:17, 23:1, 28:14

Motion [5] - 3:7, 27:17, 27:19, 27:22, 27:23

motion [6] - 3:9, 3:18, 4:24, 21:10, 27:20, 35:4 motivation [1] -40:13

move [2] - 6:4, 26:4 moved [1] - 11:17 moves [2] - 22:25, 23:18

moving [1] - 20:14
MR [69] - 3:4, 3:12,
3:16, 3:17, 11:3,
17:18, 17:20, 17:25,
18:11, 18:14, 18:20,
18:21, 19:2, 19:3,
19:12, 19:22, 20:4,
20:6, 20:18, 22:11,
23:1, 23:5, 23:8, 24:9,
26:12, 26:14, 26:25,
28:22, 29:3, 30:10,
30:18, 30:22, 31:10,
32:20, 32:23, 33:8,
33:12, 33:20, 33:23,

34:3, 34:14, 34:21,

35:11, 35:20, 36:7,

36:12, 36:17, 37:1, 37:4, 37:9, 37:15, 37:25, 38:23, 39:4, 39:20, 40:1, 40:9, 40:19, 40:24, 41:3, 41:19, 41:21, 42:5, 42:9, 42:11, 42:12, 42:14, 42:17, 42:23 multiple [1] - 18:16 must [2] - 16:14, 21:2

Myrtle [17] - 3:23, 5:12, 5:13, 6:11, 7:24, 9:5, 11:12, 12:19, 13:4, 21:2, 27:14, 30:13, 30:24, 31:1, 31:2, 36:9, 41:18

Ν

name [2] - 17:24, 18:10 **narrow** [1] - 37:14 necessarily [1] -38:18 need [2] - 32:5 needle [1] - 22:25 needs [1] - 19:16 nefarious [4] - 23:11, 23:22, 23:24, 33:16 negatively [1] -14.20 negligence [1] - 16:1 never [6] - 23:14, 23:19, 24:11, 26:25, 31:20, 36:12 new [2] - 12:3, 12:12 next [1] - 34:24 NO [1] - 1:3 nobody [1] - 33:17 nonetheless [1] -8:16 **NORTH** [2] - 1:19, 1:22 **note** [2] - 24:13, 30:8 **noted** [2] - 5:7, 6:14 notes [2] - 8:24, 30:6 nothing [3] - 24:24, 33:17, 42:11 **notice** [1] - 42:5 notified [3] - 33:19, 34:6, 36:1 notify [3] - 14:10, 39:18, 42:7 number [2] - 7:20, numbers [1] - 18:18

0

object [1] - 41:3 objection [2] - 39:17, 40:24 obligation [2] - 4:22, 33:3 obstacle [1] - 8:17 4:19 obstruct [2] - 7:11, 25:6 obstruction [1] -7:15 obstructive [1] -38:9 obviously [6] - 3:9, 33:3 35:11, 37:4, 40:16, 41:8, 41:11 occasion [1] - 31:17 occur [1] - 5:2 occurring [1] - 27:16 31:6

October [3] - 9:1, 27:18, 27:22 OF [2] - 1:1, 1:3 offer [1] - 34:21 offering [1] - 31:3 office [2] - 23:4, 40:6 OFFICE [3] - 1:13, 1:16, 1:22 officer [3] - 28:18, 28:20, 31:10 OFFICER [1] - 3:2 official [1] - 43:5

one [18] - 5:19, 6:21, 7:13, 8:21, 8:23, 9:2, 10:18, 12:1, 12:2, 13:25, 14:24, 15:1, 15:5, 23:5, 26:8, 29:25, 30:17, 32:6 one-hundred [1] - 26:8 ones [1] - 10:16

old [1] - 31:13

ongoing [2] - 30:1, 30:9 open [2] - 26:22,

31:18 operate [1] - 39:7 operation [2] - 13:7,

24:17

opinion [1] - 11:8

opportunity [1] -

23:15

opposed [1] - 32:6 option [1] - 9:22 Order [9] - 21:21, 22:7, 25:13, 25:14, 29:16, 42:18, 42:19, 42:22

order [8] - 9:25, 10:16, 12:22, 21:8,

22:4, 29:13, 29:15, 35:2 originally [1] - 19:25 otherwise [1] - 35:9 outright [1] - 10:1 outside [1] - 41:13 outstanding [1] overwhelmingly [1] owed [1] - 16:21 own [4] - 11:12, 18:8, 28:21, 37:1 owner [3] - 4:5, 26:8, ownership [12] - 4:6, 7:6, 8:11, 17:16, 21:1, 30:15, 30:25, 36:18, 37:6, 38:7, 42:2 owns [3] - 9:4, 30:23,

.

p.m [1] - 42:25 paid [1] - 24:22 paperclip [1] - 39:25 Park [18] - 3:23, 4:9, 5:21, 6:12, 7:1, 7:6, 7:24, 10:8, 10:11, 10:21, 18:9, 18:22, 18:24, 18:25, 19:1, 40:21, 41:6, 41:18 park [11] - 3:25, 4:1, 5:19, 8:2, 8:5, 17:16, 17:22, 17:23, 17:25, 25:16, 36:25 part [13] - 7:22, 11:21, 15:12, 15:13, 16:1. 18:6. 19:2. 21:24, 28:15, 31:25, 33:23, 39:2, 41:23 particular [2] -39:14, 41:2 particularly [1] -28:23 party [1] - 26:7 passed [1] - 11:14 past [1] - 38:2 paths [2] - 34:22, 35:14 pause [1] - 30:21 paying [1] - 31:2 pendens [1] - 6:21 pending [2] - 12:1, 12:4 people [2] - 6:18, 34:23 per [2] - 33:15, 37:9

percent [2] - 26:8,

30:8 percentage [1] percents [1] - 18:22 perfectly [6] - 11:15, 13:17, 17:3, 19:7, perform [2] - 15:11, permanently [1] permit [3] - 12:5, 12:12, 20:23 permits [1] - 12:18 permitting [4] -11:11, 20:21, 21:3, 39:1 person [4] - 4:2, 25:4, 29:20, 30:5 **phone** [1] - 27:15 picture [1] - 35:22 **pie** [1] - 18:19 piece [3] - 12:10, 18:5, 36:9 pieces [1] - 18:16 place [13] - 5:24, 6:11, 10:3, 30:2, 34:18, 35:6, 35:25, 36:1, 38:20, 38:22, 38:25, 40:10, 42:19 **plan** [6] - 24:7, 26:12, 26:14, 27:7, 33:6, 34:23 **plans** [1] - 9:10 **playing** [1] - 18:18 **pleadings** [1] - 11:13 **plus** [2] - 13:11, point [16] - 4:9, 6:5, 6:10, 6:24, 6:25, 8:8, 8:13, 9:19, 9:21, 12:13, 21:22, 34:7, 34:10, 36:23, 39:9 pointed [1] - 16:20 points [1] - 24:10 policy [1] - 33:15 **pools** [7] - 6:18, 15:6, 15:8, 15:9, 15:10, 15:11 posed [1] - 15:16 position [6] - 6:16, 7:2, 23:25, 27:3, 38:11, 39:15 possession [2] -4:22, 21:1 possible [1] - 16:15 potential [1] - 36:2 potentially [2] -14:17, 41:7 **pounds** [3] - 13:10,

31:22, 32:8
practical [1] - 22:7
prefer [1] - 10:2
prepared [1] - 3:10
presented [1] - 5:8
preservation [1] 34:17
pretty [1] - 9:22
prevent [6] - 10:1,
11:11, 12:17, 13:20,
21:10, 21:11
preventing [1] 12:22

prevents [1] - 10:3 primarily [1] - 8:9 primary [6] - 7:7, 35:21, 35:24, 36:8, 36:10, 41:5 privilege [1] - 19:18 probation [1] - 40:6

problem [1] - 19:16 problem [1] - 40:6 problem [1] - 19:20 problems [2] - 15:5, 31:14

proceedings [4] -10:5, 27:16, 34:24, 43:6 process [3] - 19:10,

21:2, 35:16 profit [1] - 42:3 profound [1] - 32:13 prohibit [1] - 27:6 proper [4] - 11:11, 29:11, 35:9, 37:24 properly [2] - 11:9,

29:18
properties [1] - 6:22
property [18] - 5:13,
5:14, 6:21, 8:9, 8:17,
8:23, 13:4, 13:21,
13:25, 14:1, 15:6,
17:9, 17:14, 19:4,
21:18, 24:6, 24:8,

proposed [1] - 40:12 **protect** [5] - 7:9, 8:12, 16:11, 16:16, 17:4

33:9

protecting [2] -16:19, 38:1 protectionism [1] -29:20

provided [1] - 40:6 providing [1] - 40:6 provision [4] - 29:21, 35:25, 37:7

provisions [1] -29:14 public [8] - 15:14, 16:11, 16:16, 16:19, 17:4, 34:16, 35:8, 39:13
publicity [1] - 15:4
purpose [3] - 16:2,
16:9, 22:1
purposes [1] - 31:3
pursuing [1] - 35:16
put [10] - 6:11, 6:21,
14:13, 19:16, 23:25,
35:24, 35:25, 39:12,
39:17, 40:5

Q

questions [2] 10:25, 30:17
quick [1] - 24:10
quite [5] - 16:17,
22:15, 23:23, 32:11,
33:3
quote [1] - 16:14

R

reach [1] - 8:15 ready [2] - 3:10, 3:11 real [12] - 18:16, 18:25, 23:2, 30:23, 36:3, 37:2, 40:19, 40:22, 40:25, 41:10, 41:14, 41:18 reality [1] - 9:10 realize [1] - 24:3 really [4] - 3:18, 16:5, 29:11 reason [6] - 4:12, 5:20, 7:10, 8:23, 8:24, 32:16 reasonable [1] reasons [4] - 6:19, 7:8, 7:16, 9:2 receive [1] - 20:23 receives [1] - 14:14 recently [2] - 3:21, 26:18 recess [2] - 29:7, 29:9 recollection [2] -28:4, 40:21 reconvene [1] - 29:8 record [4] - 14:6, 14:8, 30:21, 40:7 recording [2] -24:18, 43:5 recordings [1] -24:13 records [2] - 4:20, 40:7 red [1] - 32:19

refer [1] - 40:19

reference [1] - 5:1 references [1] - 14:5 regarding [1] - 29:16 regulation [1] -25:10 regulations [2] -20:20, 37:10 regulatory [2] -12:10, 38:25 relate [1] - 39:13 related [1] - 40:4 relates [6] - 3:8, 17:5, 22:17, 27:24, 39:16, 40:25 relating [1] - 11:14 relationship [1] -26:9 relatively [1] - 37:13 release [1] - 6:23 relevant [5] - 4:23, 17:17, 19:11, 28:23, 34:19 relied [1] - 18:7 reluctant [2] - 31:7, 40:18 remember [2] - 14:7, 14:8 renewal [3] - 12:2,

12:12, 34:4
renewed [2] - 12:6,
19:13
rent [1] - 31:3
REPORTER [2] - 2:2,
2:2
represented [2] 18:21, 36:8
represents [2] -

request [1] - 40:7 requested [1] - 6:3 require [2] - 14:9, 36:5 required [1] - 34:1

18:22, 30:8

requirement [2] -14:14, 42:6 requires [1] - 36:20 respectfully [2] -22:12, 35:12 respond [1] - 11:4

response [3] - 4:11, 16:8, 16:20 responsibility [1] -

responsible [3] -15:21, 32:7, 33:4 restitution [1] -16:21

33:5

restraining [3] -29:13, 29:15, 34:25 Restraining [1] - 29:16

restricting [1] - 17:1

restriction [1] - 9:15

restrictions [1]
11:18

restrictive [1] - 16:15

retain [3] - 30:15, 30:24, 30:25 returned [2] - 25:14, 25:15

Reuben [1] - 31:16 **review** [2] - 28:13, 29:6

reviewed [1] - 14:7 revisit [1] - 6:7 revocation [1] - 5:9 ridiculous [1] - 17:12 rise [1] - 3:2 risk [16] - 8:25, 9:2, 9:3, 9:17, 11:5, 15:16, 17:12, 17:18, 19:16, 34:16, 35:7, 35:12, 35:15, 35:18, 37:21,

road [1] - 41:7 ROGERS [1] - 1:9 role [1] - 9:12 routinely [1] - 7:8 RPR [1] - 2:2 run [1] - 13:9 running [1] - 32:2 rYAN [1] - 1:21 RYAN [1] - 1:22

39:16

9

s/Debra [1] - 43:10 safari [1] - 14:21 Safari [27] - 3:23, 4:9, 5:11, 5:12, 5:13, 6:12, 7:1, 7:6, 7:24, 10:8, 10:11, 10:21, 11:12, 12:19, 13:4, 14:23, 15:17, 21:2, 21:23, 27:14, 30:24, 31:1, 31:2, 31:4, 36:9, 41:5, 41:18 **Safari's** [1] - 13:23 safe [1] - 25:15 **safety** [1] - 39:13 sake [1] - 19:10 sale [7] - 5:23, 36:16, 40:2, 40:16, 41:17, 41:22, 41:25 salt [1] - 24:24 satisfied [1] - 21:6 **saw** [1] - 32:13 **SC** [6] - 1:3, 1:14, 1:17, 1:20, 1:23, 2:3 schedule [1] - 12:7

2:2 6:6, 25:1 scheduled [1] significant [9] -Temporary [1] -12:15 17:24, 18:9, 20:19, statute [2] - 7:12, 29:16 transaction [1] scope [3] - 5:2, 20:24, 21:4, 23:13, 26:5 ten [1] - 42:7 37:14, 41:13 30:1, 40:22 staying [1] - 33:9 transcript [1] - 43:4 tenuous [4] - 14:24, seamlessly [2] sits [3] - 19:1, 23:20, stealing [1] - 28:21 TRANSCRIPTION [1] 15:1, 19:21, 32:25 12:5, 12:6 30.24 STENOTYPE/ term [2] - 39:23, - 2:5 search [4] - 27:25, situation [1] - 30:19 **COMPUTER** [1] - 2:5 40:18 transfer [46] - 6:11, sleep [1] - 31:19 28:9, 28:10, 28:16 STENOTYPE/ terms [3] - 24:12, 7:6, 8:11, 8:15, 9:16, second [2] - 8:24, sleeping [1] - 24:2 **COMPUTER-AIDED** 25:23, 40:17 10:1, 10:8, 10:11, 10:21, 10:22, 10:24, 11:5 slippery [3] - 18:20, [1] - 2:5 Text [2] - 42:18, secondly [1] - 6:14 19:5, 19:19 42:21 12:22, 12:23, 13:20, **step** [2] - 5:24, 8:22 13:24, 13:25, 18:25, slope [3] - 18:20, THE [60] - 1:1, 1:1, **see** [2] - 3:13, 26:2 still [9] - 5:21, 8:15, 1:9, 1:12, 1:18, 3:3, 19:4, 21:1, 21:7, seed [1] - 6:23 19:6, 19:19 8:25, 18:15, 28:12, 21:11, 22:9, 22:17, seek [4] - 9:25, 10:1, slow [2] - 24:4, 32:5 31:3, 31:4, 35:5, 37:1 3:11, 3:13, 11:2, 17:15, 17:19, 17:22, 22:18, 23:1, 23:23, 10:7, 34:25 small [1] - 36:9 **stop** [2] - 34:9, 38:18 23:24, 26:21, 27:1, sold [1] - 36:4 18:6, 18:12, 18:17, seeking [4] - 13:15, **stopping** [1] - 20:14 27:4, 29:23, 33:10, 18:24, 19:9, 19:21, 14:19, 38:5, 38:7 sole [2] - 30:23, 31:5 strawman [1] - 4:18 34:9, 34:19, 35:2, 20:3, 20:5, 20:16, **seeks** [3] - 7:5, solely [1] - 11:10 **STREET** [5] - 1:13, 22:8, 23:7, 29:2, 29:5, 36:2, 38:7, 38:21, 12:21, 17:2 solution [3] - 38:17, 1:16, 1:19, 1:22, 2:3 38:25, 39:8, 39:18, 29:10, 30:16, 31:7, seem [1] - 15:7 38:19, 38:20 **strictly** [1] - 38:13 41:11, 41:17, 41:23, 32:15, 32:21, 33:10, seize [7] - 8:4, 8:6, **someone** [1] - 26:8 subject [9] - 7:22, 33:18, 33:22, 34:12, 42:1 8:8, 8:22, 15:18, 21:14, 24:20, 25:16, sometimes [2] -34:15, 35:5, 35:18, transferred [8] -15:19, 16:4 32:4, 39:12 25:17, 26:16, 26:23, 8:21, 19:11, 20:22, 35:23, 36:15, 36:24, seized [1] - 16:23 somewhere [2] -27:24, 33:11 37:3, 37:7, 37:12, 21:5, 25:19, 25:20, seizure [4] - 7:22, subpoena [1] - 4:19 14:1, 27:4 31:1, 33:19 37:19. 38:14. 39:12. 8:4, 9:24, 10:2 son [1] - 15:10 substantial [1] -39:24, 40:8, 40:16, transferring [5] sell [5] - 3:23, 7:1, sort [8] - 4:12, 5:25, 40:17 40:20, 41:1, 41:16, 10:17, 26:22, 36:18, 24:22, 32:25, 37:5 6:1, 8:7, 22:24, 30:11, **substitute** [3] - 7:19, 41:20, 41:24, 42:7, 37:6, 38:4 selling [3] - 3:25, 31:20, 40:11 7:23, 41:7 42:10, 42:13, 42:16, transfers [2] - 17:15, 26:6, 26:7 **sound** [1] - 43:5 successful [2] -42:19, 42:24 20:14 sense [2] - 24:7, **SOUTH** [2] - 1:1, 2:3 13:7, 13:13 therein [1] - 7:7 transpiring [1] -32:17 speaking [2] - 12:9, successfully [1] thick [1] - 16:18 38:15 SEPTEMBER [1] -13:10 thinking [5] - 39:14, tremendous [2] -Species [2] - 10:9, sudden [1] - 17:11 39:24, 40:10, 40:12, 32:9, 32:14 September [1] suggestion [1] -40:17 trial [1] - 9:1 species [6] - 5:18, thinks [1] - 21:19 tried [1] - 28:8 serious [2] - 28:3, 12:23. 13:5. 15:23. Sugriva [7] - 4:1, tries [1] - 23:21 third [1] - 26:7 21:12, 21:16 12:3. 12:10. 12:13. third-party [1] - 26:7 trouble [2] - 23:15, set [7] - 3:8, 7:13, **specific** [3] - 10:10, 20:23, 20:25, 31:1 **THOMAS** [1] - 1:9 41:14 8:14, 8:19, 9:23, 10:12, 10:23 **SUITE** [2] - 1:14, thousand [2] - 13:10, try [5] - 11:11, 12:7, 11:22, 19:25 specifically [9] - 5:7, 32:8 19:16, 22:2, 31:19 setting [1] - 16:10 5:19, 6:3, 9:9, 10:8, **summons** [1] - 23:16 three [2] - 7:7, 17:9 trying [7] - 13:1, several [1] - 5:17 14:7, 14:8, 25:10, support [1] - 13:18 17:11, 23:24, 25:3, tie [1] - 31:4 **share** [2] - 31:11 30:12 supposed [2] tied [1] - 5:12 29:15, 35:23, 41:24 **shared** [1] - 36:16 **spin** [1] - 19:15 12:14, 38:22 ties [5] - 4:3, 9:8, tuned [1] - 14:25 SHOEMAKE [16] spots [1] - 6:23 Suppress [4] turn [4] - 14:22, 15:8, 16:13, 22:22, 26:3 1:12, 3:4, 3:17, 24:9, squarely [1] - 9:23 27:17, 27:19, 27:23 17:12, 23:15 tigers [1] - 15:11 26:14, 28:22, 29:3, staff [1] - 16:1 survival [1] - 33:4 timetable [1] - 39:2 turning [1] - 15:6 33:23, 34:14, 34:21, stake [1] - 12:21 suspect [1] - 10:13 timing [1] - 38:21 turnover [1] - 4:20 35:11, 35:20, 37:15, standpoint [2] swabs [1] - 22:3 two [8] - 5:25, 10:12, 37:25, 41:3, 42:12 20:25, 31:5 tired [2] - 24:3, 32:1 **swap** [1] - 6:21 11:25, 20:16, 22:8, **Shoemake** [16] - 3:3, titled [1] - 11:9 stands [2] - 11:8, swim [1] - 15:10 22:15, 24:15, 26:18 13:1, 14:13, 15:17, 16:22 today [2] - 7:5, 11:9 sworn [1] - 28:17 type [2] - 10:12, tour [4] - 15:12, 15:20, 15:21, 16:4, start [1] - 11:5 17:13 15:13, 15:14 16:17, 17:6, 21:16, starts [1] - 41:8 Т 23:8, 23:21, 28:7, tours [1] - 15:11 state [1] - 24:22 talks [2] - 15:17, U 37:3, 37:13, 41:1 track [1] - 27:4 statement [1] - 40:20 16:18 short [1] - 29:9 trafficked [2] - 8:3, States [2] - 3:5, 11:7 unanswered [1] **shut** [2] - 34:4, 34:9 team [4] - 13:8, 13:9, 10:19 **STATES** [6] - 1:1, 28:24 15:10, 27:20 side [1] - 38:25 trafficking [3] - 5:18, 1:3, 1:10, 1:13, 1:16,

uncertain [1] - 39:3 under [8] - 9:12, 10:9, 16:10, 20:21, 20:22, 25:13, 28:10, 28:18 underestimates [1] -22:15 understates [1] -22:16 undertaking [1] -32:25 unenforceable [1] -27:9 unequivocally [1] -12:11 unique [1] - 32:24 United [2] - 3:5, 11:7 **UNITED** [6] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:10, 1:13, 1:16, 2:2 unless [4] - 10:24, 20:23, 21:5, 27:19 unloading [2] -32:18, 33:2 unnecessary [1] -8:10 **up** [2] - 8:19, 32:3 USDA [27] - 3:22, 3:24, 4:19, 4:21, 5:23, 11:9, 11:10, 11:14, 12:1, 12:4, 12:5, 12:7, 12:8, 12:11, 20:21, 21:2, 21:6, 23:4, 33:15, 33:21, 33:25, 36:13, 36:19, 37:9, 39:6, 39:9 utterly [3] - 12:20, 17:6, 20:1

٧

vacuum [1] - 15:7 value [2] - 40:22, 40:23 values [1] - 30:7 vanish [1] - 9:9 vendors [1] - 15:3 verbal [1] - 42:19 verbiage [1] - 42:21 verify [1] - 24:21 **VERSUS** [1] - 1:5 versus [5] - 3:5, 11:7, 11:9, 20:7, 22:9 vet [2] - 13:11, 14:12 victims [1] - 16:20 view [2] - 37:16, 37:20 violate [1] - 37:8 violation [4] - 7:10,

7:11, 7:14, 40:3

violations [4] - 5:17, 11:19, 20:9, 25:22 Virginia [9] - 9:1, 24:25, 27:10, 27:12, 27:15, 27:18, 27:21, 28:10, 28:11

W

waiting [1] - 28:12 **wall** [1] - 28:8 wants [6] - 10:23, 14:3, 19:17, 20:12, 24:6, 30:11 warrant [2] - 28:9, 28:16 warrants [1] - 15:14 watch [1] - 27:16 week [2] - 27:16, 34:24 weeks [2] - 3:8, 26:18 weigh [1] - 21:20 weighing [1] - 22:19 well-being [3] -14:21, 15:16, 15:22 whatsoever [3] -12:13, 23:15, 42:2 white [1] - 9:11 whole [10] - 3:19, 18:19, 23:3, 25:13, 34:4, 34:7, 34:10, 34:11, 36:22 widely [1] - 23:19 wildlife [2] - 6:6, 24:25 word [1] - 32:15 worth [1] - 32:16 write [1] - 42:20 written [1] - 21:13 Written [1] - 42:17

Υ

y'all [1] - 42:13 year [1] - 12:6 years [4] - 24:2, 24:15, 31:13, 32:2 York [4] - 4:4, 6:12, 9:11 York's [2] - 4:7, 9:7 yourself [2] - 35:21, 35:22

Ζ

zoo [2] - 19:2, 26:7